

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

FEB 24 3 50 PM '99

MAILING ONLINE SERVICE

Docket No. MC98-1

NOTICE OF FILING REVISED RESPONSE TO QUESTION
RAISED DURING THE HEARING ON FEBRUARY 5, 1999
BY THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER
ADVOCATE AND COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY
(February 24, 1999)

On February 12, 1999, the Postal Service provided a response to a question that arose during the hearing on February 5. That response indicated that the Postal Service was filing as USPS-LR-29/MC98-1 its contract with Compaq.

The body of the response in all respects remains the same. However, the paraphrasing of the request inadvertently and incorrectly characterized the contract as the source of cost information utilized by witness Lim. The contract does reflect ceilings for expended costs, but does not reflect costs actually incurred. Moreover, witness Lim, in fact, did not use the contract as the source of any costs. Tr. 8/1983.


The attached revised response accordingly reflects a more accurate paraphrasing of the question.

Respectfully submitted,


UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking


Kenneth N. Hollies

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-3083; Fax -5402



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

1C 2/16/12
Kenneth N. Hollies

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-3083; Fax -5402
February 24, 1999